# PRECAUTIONARY PRINCIPLE

# 1. Dictionary



#### precaution

measure taken in advance to prevent something dangerous, unpleasant, or inconvenient from happening. 'he had taken the precaution of seeking legal advice'

#### Origin

from Latin praecavere, from prae 'before' + cavere 'take heed, beware of'.



# 2. Why precaution?

# To tackle risk, uncertainty, ignorance



Ocopyleft:Tommaso Luzzati



See also WILLETT A.H., 1901, "The economic theory of risk and insurance", Philadelphia University Press,



### **Events**

A; B; C **Outcomes** 

X, Y, Z

# **Probability**

e.g. (0,4; 0,4; 0,2)



Ocopyleft:Tommaso Luzzati

Risk is not uncertainty

Knight

• Risk probabilities are known

• Uncertainty, probabilities are UNKNOWN, subjective assessment





# **Knightian Uncertainty**



#### SAVAGE and DE FINETTI (1906 – 1985) and SAVAGE (1971-1971)

#### Difference between risk and uncertainty vanishes

UNCERTAINTY→ individual assessment of probabilities about future events

definition of probability that identifies the **subjective estimate** of probability itself to be the degree of confidence or doubt a decision maker has in the outcome.



### Expected utility and **RISK NEUTRALITY**

#### Lottery, L, gives

 $W_H$  with probability p  $W_L$  with probability (1-p)Expected value:  $E(L)=pW_H+(1-p)W_L$ Expected utility:  $E(U(L))=pU(W_H)+(1-p)U(W_L)$ 

#### **RISK NEUTRALITY**

indifference between playing the lottery and getting the expected amount with certainty

L indifferent to E(L)

#### **RISK AVERSION**

E(L) preferred to L

**RISK PROPENSION** 

L preferred to E(L)



Can precaution be interpreted as risk aversion? perhaps ...

#### AN EXAMPLE



"It is not possible to find hard evidence that something is going to happen [...]

Now, can anyone — will be always able to say, even after the fact, that there isn't sufficient evidence,

that you don't have proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

You'll know an event occurred, but even after it occurs, it's very difficult to get perfect evidence.

Our goal is not to go into a court of law and try to prove something to somebody. [...]

It is a task of taking these disparate pieces and putting them together

so that people can make their own judgment,

it's not for us to prove anything.

What they have to do is they have to say,

"What does a reasonable person conclude are the risks from this?" Are the risks greater of the U.N., for example, trying to enforce their resolution or are the risks greater of not doing that? Always there are risks on both sides.

Who said this?

Donald Rumsfeld segretario della difesa US



Pentagon Briefing, Aired September 26, 2002 - 13:15 ET, CNN Iraqi's WAR →20th March 2003



Such an approach is legitimate, but ...

need of consistency:

using it for making a war but and also for banning pesticides or other environmental issues ...



However ...

#### "the United States submits –

# precaution is not a principle of international law ...

precaution cannot even be defined"

July 29, 2004 – Executive Summary Submission of the Rebuttal Submission of the United States – WTO vs. European Communities



#### **PRECAUTIONARY PRINCIPLE**

NEED OF DEFINING AT the INTERNATIONAL LEVEL a level of

#### COMPATIBILITY

Between technological development and the control of the risks associated to that development



#### Precautionary Principle Rio Declaration (1992) Principle 15

In order to protect the environment,

the precautionary approach shall be widely applied by States

### according to their capability.

Where there are threats of serious or irreversible damage,

# lack of full scientific certainty shall not be used as a reason

#### for postponing cost-effective measures

to prevent environmental degradation.



#### Actions should be guided by

environmental and health protection,

taking into account both present & future generations

# ethical need of discussing about the opportunity of manipulating the environment and life

thanks to technological progress



# At first sight

• Precaution similar to risk aversion ...



Ocopyleft:Tommaso Luzzati

2 common attitudes **Technological optimism** -economic growth -technology will fix **Technological pessimism** -finite resources -limits to growth

- Is such a justaposition MEANINGFUL???
- BETTER to think in terms of SCENARIOS •



Possible OUTCOMES		Policies based on	
		<u>OPTIMISM</u>	PESSIMISM
Who is RIGHT	OPTIMIST	maximum	moderate opportunity costs
	PESSIMIST	disaster no precautions	tolerable

INDIVIDUAL is free to RISK

Are SOCIETY DECISION MAKERS???

A Mother/Father????

Table from COSTANZA 1989



PRECAUTION is more than risk aversion



# FROM RISK TO ....



IGNORANCE is unavoidably part of SCIENCE:

The TOP EXPERT ...



# The top EXPERT



In December 1903, the Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences awarded Pierre Curie, Marie Curie, and Henri Becquerel the Nobel Prize in Physics, "in recognition of the extraordinary services they have rendered by their joint researches on the radiation phenomena discovered by Professor Henri Becquerel."

Curie died in 1934, aged 66 due to aplastic anemia brought on by exposure to radiation

while carrying test tubes of radium in her pockets during research ...

O Copyleft:Tommaso Luzzati

WE often DO NOT KNOW in detail, however we also KNOW ...

- COVID-19  $\rightarrow$  epidemics in general & SARS-cov 2002
- MAD COW
- ECOLOGY LAWS by Barry Commoner





Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy (BSE), **mad-cow disease**, fatal neurodegenerative disease in cattle

BSE has a long incubation period, about 30 months to 8 years



In humans: new variant Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease (vCJD or nvCJD), IT KILLED



https://www.newscientist.com/article/2118418-many-more-people-could-still-die-frommad-cow-disease-in-the-uk/

Journal reference: New England Journal of Medicine , DOI: 10.1056/NEJMc1610003 Copyleft: Tommaso Luzzati

# ECONOMIC LOSSESS!!!



O Copyleft: Tommaso Luzzati

The epizootic was caused by cattle being fed the remains of other cattle in the form of meat and bone meal, which caused the infectious agent to spread.

Are COWS carnivorous???
→ Herbivores
We know this ... we d not know
consequences of feeding cows with meat ....



We do not know, yet we know a lot ...



Ocopyleft:Tommaso Luzzati



Barry Commoner (May 28, 1917 – September 30, 2012) was an American **biologist**, college professor, and politician. He was a leading ecologist and among the founders of the modern environmental movement.



#### Nature knows best, the third (informal) law of ecology,

Commoner writes, "holds that any major man-made change in a natural system is likely to be detrimental to that system." During 5 billion years of evolution, living things developed an array of substances and reactions that together constitute the living biosphere.

The modern petrochemical industry, however, suddenly created thousands of new substances that did not exist in nature. Based on the same basic patterns of carbon chemistry as natural compounds, these new substances enter readily into existing biochemical processes.

But they do so in ways that are frequently destructive to life, leading to mutations, cancer, and many different forms of death and disease.

"The absence of a particular substance from nature," Commoner writes, "is often a sign that it is incompatible with the chemistry of life."



# XX CENTURY

The Sorcerer's Apprentice Walt Disney Cartoon Movie









#### **Key Elements of the Precautionary Principle**

- 1. potential (serious, irreversible) harm
- 2. uncertainty (and complexity)
- 3. action is warranted



# Harm

- 1) Serious
- 2) Irreversible
- 3) Cumulative
- 4) Broad spatial and temporal dimensions
- 5) (Easily) avoidable



# Scientific uncertainty

- Uncertainty about cause or magnitude
- Uncertainty, indeterminacy, ignorance
  - Value of more data
  - Unpredictability of complex systems
  - Asking the right questions



### "Proof"

• Scientific "proof" depends on the kind of study and the criteria that are agreed upon to establish proof

• What constitutes "proof" is

a mixture of scientific, social, and political factors

lack of evidence of harm,
is not the same thing as
evidence of lack of harm.



# **Cigarettes and lung cancer—evidence for causation**

- 1945—Ochsner—Incidence rises together
- 1950—Doll & Hill—case-control study
- 1953—Wynder—tar causes cancer in mice
- 1954—Follow up studies show association, and that greater exposure > greater risk
- 1990s—biological mechanism(s) described (genetic factors; mutations)



The precautionary principle incorporates both science and ethics

- Ethics and values Do not harm
- Science What we know How we know What we don't know



### Values underlying the PP

- 1) **Respect** for the needs and rights of this and future generations as well as others who cannot speak for themselves
- 2) **Humility** towards the natural world and our ability to understand it through science
- 3) **Democracy** giving people a voice in matters that affect their lives
- 4) **Responsibility** government's public trust responsibility to manage the commonwealth for this and future generations.

Individuals' and their institutions', including industry, obligation to take responsibility for their actions in the world.



Why the precautionary principle today?

- Assessing cumulative, systems level or interactive effects is difficult.
- **Surprises** have occurred too frequently (Ex. CFCs and the hole in the ozone layer).
- **Future generations** have interests and needs that are difficult to protect with some decision-making strategies
- Many current choices have **high decision stakes** because of the scale at which they are made. (Global choices have global consequences.)

the world is big and complex!

